Eur. Phys. J. B **48**, 149 (2005) DOI: 10.1140/epjb/e2005-00385-x

## THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL B

## **Erratum**

## Optimization of robustness of complex networks

G. Paul<sup>1,a</sup>, T. Tanizawa<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Havlin<sup>1,3</sup>, and H.E. Stanley<sup>1</sup>

- Center for Polymer Studies and Dept. of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
- Department of Electrical Engineering, Kochi National College of Technology, Monobe-Otsu 200-1, Nankoku, Kochi, 783-8508, Japan
- Minerva Center and Department of Physics, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel

Eur. Phys. J. B 38, 187 (2004)

Received 6 September 2005 Published online 9 December 2005 – © EDP Sciences, Società Italiana di Fisica, Springer-Verlag 2005

We predicted that the network design which maximizes the robustness of networks to both random failure and intentional attack while keeping the cost of the network constant is one in which all but one of the nodes have the same degree,  $k_1$  (close to the average number of links per node), and one node is of very large degree,  $k_2 \sim N^{2/3}$ , where N is the number of nodes in the network. This prediction was based on the use of an equation for  $f_c$ , the fraction of nodes which are randomly removed before a network loses global connnectivity [1]:

$$f_c^{\rm rand} = 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_0 - 1},\tag{1}$$

where  $\kappa_0 \equiv \langle k^2 \rangle / \langle k \rangle$ . This equation is valid only for random networks without multiple edges and self loops [2] – i.e. for *simple* networks – a fact we did not initially consider. There is no loss of generality in restricting the networks we consider to be simple because neither multiple edges nor self loops add to robustness against node removal. The requirement that the network be simple is reflected in the constraint that the largest degree  $k_{max}$  with non-zero probability  $k_{max}$  must obey [3–6]

$$k_{max} < \sqrt{\langle k \rangle N}.$$
 (2)

The fraction of high degree nodes r is found by enforcing this constraint by setting  $k_2 = \sqrt{\langle k \rangle N}$  and using the relation

$$k_2 \sim \left\{ 2\langle k \rangle^2 (\frac{\langle k \rangle - 1)^2}{2\langle k \rangle - 1} \right\}^{1/3} r^{-2/3} \equiv Ar^{-2/3}.$$
 (3)

We find

$$r = \left(\frac{A^2}{\langle k \rangle N}\right)^{3/4}.\tag{4}$$

Thus the optimal network is one in which  $rN \sim N^{1/4}$  of the nodes have degree  $k_2 = \sqrt{\langle k \rangle N}$  and the remaining nodes have degree  $k_1$  (close to the average number of links per node).

## References

- R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben-Avraham, S. Havlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4626 (2000)
- G. Paul, S. Sreenivasan, H.E. Stanley, Phys.Rev. E (accepted for publication), preprint cond-mat/0507202
- 3. F. Chung, L. Lu, Ann. Combinatorics 6, 125 (2002)
- 4. Z. Burda, A. Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. E 67 046118 (2003)
- M. Boguñá, R. Pastor-Sartorras, A. Vespignani, Eur. Phys. J. B 38, 205 (2004)
- 6. M. Catanzaro, M. Boguñá, R. Pastor-Satorras, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 027103 (2005)

a e-mail: gerryp@bu.edu